

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,756	01/14/2004	Hajime Kimura	12732-207001 / US6910	1526
26171 7590 11/29/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
o éra de la co	10/756,756	KIMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Piziali	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>29 October 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18,27-66,70-78 and 80-84</u> is	/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,13-18,27-66,70-78 and 80</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 81-84</u> is/are rejected	<b>d</b> .					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action to	a list of the certified copies hot	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>29 October 2007</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/756,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 29 October 2007 has been entered.

#### **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 27 October 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Claims 11, 12, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koyama et al (US 2001/0048408 A1).

Regarding claim 11, Koyama discloses a signal line driver circuit [Fig. 1] comprising: a shift register [Fig. 1; First - Third Shift Registers]; a latch circuit [Fig. 1; LAT Portion], electrically connected to the shift register, comprising a plurality of pairs of current sources [Fig. 5B], wherein each of the plurality of pairs of current sources is configured to receive a set signal [Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2] and a signal current [Fig. 5B; Input], and to control an output current value [Fig. 5B; Output] depending on a value of the signal current (see Pages 5-6; Paragraphs 88-89 -- wherein an output current value will at least partially be dependent on the presence/absence of an input signal current); and a changing over circuit [Fig. 1; 10a] electrically connected to the plurality of pairs of current sources and a plurality of signal lines [Fig. 1; S001 - S640], wherein the changing over circuit is configured to select one pair of current sources from the plurality of pairs of current sources for electrically connecting to each of the plurality of signal lines, and wherein the shift register is configured to output the set signal (see Page 3; Paragraphs 50-53).

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 11; furthermore, Koyama discloses a signal line driver circuit [Fig. 6] comprising: a shift register [Fig. 6; First - Third Shift Registers]; a latch circuit [Fig. 1; Latch Circuit Portion], electrically connected to the shift register, comprising: a plurality of pairs of current sources [Fig. 5B], wherein each of the plurality of pairs of current sources is configured to receive a set signal [Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2] and a signal current [Fig. 5B; Input], and to control an output current

Application/Control Number: 10/756,756

Art Unit: 2629

value [Fig. 5B; Output] depending on a value of the signal current (see Pages 5-6; Paragraphs 88-89 -- wherein an output current value will at least partially be dependent on the presence/absence of an input signal current); a first switch (see Fig. 5B) provided between the shift register and each of the plurality of pairs of current sources (see Pages 5-6; Paragraphs 88-89); and a second switch [Fig. 6; 20] (see Page 6; Paragraphs 90-92), and a changing over circuit [Fig. 6; 10c] electrically connected between the plurality of pairs of current sources through the second switch and a plurality of signal lines, wherein the changing over circuit is configured to select one pair of current sources from the plurality of pairs of current sources for electrically connecting to each of the plurality of signal lines, wherein the shift register is configured to output the set signal, and wherein the first and second switches are configured to be controlled by a latch pulse [Fig. 1; LP] (see Page 3; Paragraphs 50-53).

Regarding claim 81, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claims 11 and 12; furthermore, Koyama discloses a signal line driver circuit [Fig. 1] comprising: a plurality of current source circuits [Fig. 5B], wherein each of the plurality of current source circuits is configured to be supplied with a first current [Fig. 5B; Input] and to supply a second current [Fig. 5B; Output], and wherein a value of the second current depends on a value of the first current (see Pages 5-6; Paragraphs 88-89 -- wherein a second/output current value will at least partially be dependent on the presence/absence of a first/input signal current); a plurality of signal lines [Fig. 1; S001 - S640]; and a selector circuit [Fig. 1; 10a] electrically connected between the plurality of current source circuits and the plurality of signal lines, wherein the

Art Unit: 2629

selector circuit is configured to select one of the plurality of signal lines to which the second current is supplied (see Page 3; Paragraphs 50-53).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 82-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al (US 2001/0048408 A1) in view of Akimoto et al (US 6,850,216 B2).

Regarding claim 82, Koyama discloses each of the current sources [Fig. 5B; transistor pairs] includes at least one transistor having a gate, and controlling a voltage [Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2] applied to the gate of the transistor (see Page 3; Paragraphs 50-53). One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize Koyama's gate controlling voltages [Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2] would necessarily and inherently be set via at least one switch -- otherwise the

Application/Control Number: 10/756,756

Art Unit: 2629

control signals would remain constant and provide no "control" whatsoever over Koyama's SRAM circuit.

However, should the applicants prove the Koyama reference neglects to teach such switch control with sufficient specificity; Akimoto does disclose current sources including at least one switch [Fig. 27; 201 & 202] and at least one transistor [Fig. 27; 205 & 206] having a gate, with the switch being connected to control a voltage applied to the gate of the transistor (see Column 1, Lines 10-53).

Koyama and Akimoto are analogous art, because they are from the shared inventive field of controlling SRAM type circuitry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Akimoto's switches to set Koyama's controlling voltages [Koyama: Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2], because all the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predicable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Regarding claim 83, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 82.

Regarding claim 84, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 82.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicants' arguments filed 29 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants contend, the cited prior art of *Koyama et al (US 2001/0048408 A1)*, "does not describe or suggest an arrangement in which an output current value is controlled depending on a value of a received signal current. Rather, as has been previously noted, Koyama describes an SRAM circuit that sets an output potential based on an input potential received at a particular time" (see Page 18 of the 'Amendment in Reply to Action of August 9, 2007' filed 29 October 2007). However, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Koyama discloses a plurality of pairs of current sources [Fig. 5B; transistor pair], wherein each of the plurality of pairs of current sources is configured to receive a set signal [Fig. 5B; Control Signals 1 & 2] and a signal current [Fig. 5B; Input], and to control an output current value [Fig. 5B; Output] depending on a value of the signal current (see Pages 5-6; Paragraphs 88-89 -- wherein an output current value will at least partially be dependent on the presence/absence of an input signal current).

The applicants argue, "the output current of Koyama's SRAM circuit will depend primarily on the input impedance of devices to which the output of Koyama's SRAM circuit is connected, and will not be controlled based on a value of a received signal current" (see Page 18 of the 'Amendment in Reply to Action of August 9, 2007' filed 29 October 2007). However, again the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,756

Art Unit: 2629

Ohm's law states that the electrical current passing through a conductor between two points is proportional to the potential difference (i.e. voltage drop or voltage) across the two points, and inversely proportional to the resistance between them (i.e., I = V/R). Even if arguably resistance varies across Koyama's SRAM circuit in Figure 5B; the applicants themselves concede Koyama's "output potentialsis based on an input potential received at a particular time" (see Page 18 of the 'Amendment in Reply to Action of August 9, 2007' filed 29 October 2007).

Therefore, Koyama's output current will inherently be (at least partially) dependent upon the presence or absence of input current/voltage. As such, Koyama's SRAM circuit would indeed control an output current [Fig. 5B; Output] depending on an input signal current [Fig. 5B; Input], as instantly claimed.

Perhaps the simplest example of such an input-to-output current signal correspondence is that absent an input current signal, no output current signal will exist in Koyama's SRAM circuit. However, once an input current signal is applied to Koyama's current source circuit [Fig. 5B; transistor pair], and control signals 1 and 2 are switched turn the corresponding transistors on, a current signal will be output.

By such reasoning, rejection of the claims is deemed necessary, proper, and thereby maintained at this time.

Art Unit: 2629

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Piziali

26 November 2007